

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/803,545	03/09/2001	Stanislaus Pietrucha JR.	2008-00100 7312	
23505	7590 01/09/2006		EXAMINER	
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.			MCALLISTER, STEVEN B	
P. O. BOX 3267 HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/803,545	PIETRUCHA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Steven B. McAllister	3627		

Dororo mo i ming or an ruppour Dire.	Examiner	Art Onit	
	Steven B. McAllister	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a ving replies: (1) an amendment, affi tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	_		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Interpretation of the checked) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70)6.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr nally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complification of the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	· -		ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	•	i E below);	
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) { how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>179-214</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>153-178</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	——————————————————————————————————————		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	• • •	•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
STEVER A	CALLISTER		
	EXAMINER	Steven B. McAlliste Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3627	er

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claims and limitation require additional search and/or consideration.